

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	O. FILING	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/658,924	09/1	1/2000	Glen T. Cunkle	A-22082/P2/CGC 2041	4000
324	7590	12/16/2004		EXAMINER	
	ECIALTY CH DEPARTMENT	EMICALS CO	ALVO, MARC S		
540 WHI	ΓE PLAINS RD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P O BOX TARRYT		91_9005	1731		

DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Advisory Action	09/658,924	CUNKLE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Steve Alvo	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 01 November 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) b)	The state of the final rejection.						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) $\boxtimes$ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(c	d) $\square$ they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6.	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s explanation of how the new or amended claims wou	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)[ uld be rejected is provided belo	will be entered and an wor appended.				
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•					
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> .						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.				
			5/4) (C)				
			Steve Alvo Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1731				

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/658,924

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Currently amended claim 4 does not differ in scope with claim 11. Also the claim was not limited to the elected species, e.g. "N,N,N',N'-Tetramethyl-N,N'-bis-[3-1(oxyl-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-4-yloxl-propyl-hexamethylenediammonium Dibromide", see June 12, 2001 non-final rejection and election of species requirement.